

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/965,786	09/27/2001	Rick Rowe	IGTECH.0028P	3692
22434	7590 11/03/2004		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			COBURN, CORBETT B	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
2272221,			3714	

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/965,786	ROWE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Corbett B. Coburn	3714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	une 2004.				
	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,13-16,19 and 21-26 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are, allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7-10,13-16,19 and 21-26 is/are re Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
,	•	kanniner, Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL			
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat trity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		o □	(DTO 442)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/965,786

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-16, 19 & 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker et al. (US Patent Number 6,113,495).
 - Claims 1, 8, 19, 23: Walker teaches an information system associated with a gaming system including at least one gaming device (300), the gaming device is arranged to present at least one game for play thereon (slot machine game). There is a player tracking device (360) associated with said gaming device. The player tracking device including a card reader (364), a keypad (370), at least one speaker (353) and at least one display (362). (Since all components are electrically connected, they are part of the player tracking device the physical location of the component in the cabinet is immaterial.) Walker teaches a player tracking host (110) arranged to store data regarding one or more players of said gaming device (in Player Tracking Database 400). The player tracking host (110) is also an

Art Unit: 3714

information system host. The information system host is arranged to determine the eligibility of a player of said gaming device to multi-media information (Fig 7A, 715). Eligibility is determined from data regarding the player (Fig 4). The information system host is arranged to generate multi-media information for presentation by said player tracking device of said gaming device based upon said eligibility. (Figs 7A-C & 8) The multi-media information may be video or one or more images for presentation upon said at least one display of said player tracking device and audio information for presentation by said at least one speaker of said player tracking device. (Col 7, 44-61) There is a communication link between said player tracking device and said player tracking host over which said generated information is transmitted. (Fig 1) The multimedia information may be presented independent of player activity (i.e., to all players on a particular class of machines.) (Col 2, 57-60) Walker teaches both the structure and the method of using it. Claims 2, 9, 24: The information host is arranged to determine said eligibility in response to an indication from said player tracking host that a player has begun playing said gaming device. (Fig 8) Claim 3: The communications link comprises a portion of a communication

network. (Fig 1)

Claim 4: A plurality of player tracking devices associated with differing gaming devices are associated with said player tracking host. (Fig 1 & Col 3, 14-16) Claims 5, 13, 21: The information host is adapted to generate information regarding a player promotion. (Information is provided as a "comp". Col 4, 27-34. Art Unit: 3714

Comps are player promotions.)

Claim 7: Fig 3 shows the player tracking device (360) in a separate box. The location of this box is immaterial, but it appears to be near the top of the machine. Furthermore, Walker '495 teaches that the multimedia content may be displayed on display (362). (Col 7, 44-61) This would mean that the display would be at eye level – i.e., on the top of the device.

Claim 10: The indication comprises the transmission of player identification information from the player tracking device to the player tracking host. (Fig 7A, 705)

Claims 14, 26: The step of determining player eligibility comprises determining if said player has accrued a number of reward points. (Fig 4, 435 & Col 2, 57-60)

Claims 15, 25: The step of determining player eligibility may include determining if said player is playing a certain type of gaming device. (Col 2, 53-5)

Claims 16, 22: The multi-media information may include information regarding a bonus. The multimedia information may be used as a form of comp. Comps are a form of bonus.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/965,786

Art Unit: 3714

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-16, 19 & 21-26 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 & 18-28 of copending Application No. 10/242559. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the identical structure and method for use.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7-10, 13-16 & 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Corbett B. Coburn

Examiner Art Unit 3714